Role of the first coordination shell in determining the equilibrium structure and dynamics of simple liquids
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The traditional view that the physical properties of a simple liquid are determined primarily by its repulsive forces was recently challenged by Berthier and Tarjus, who showed that in some cases ignoring the attractions leads to large errors in the dynamics [L. Berthier and G. Tarjus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 170601 (2009); J. Chem. Phys. 134, 214503 (2011)]. We present simulations of the standard Lennard-Jones liquid at several condensed-fluid state points, including a fairly low density state and a very high density state, as well as simulations of the Kob-Andersen binary Lennard-Jones mixture. By varying the range of the forces via a shifted-forces cutoff, results for the thermodynamics, dynamics, and structure show that the determining factor for getting the correct statics and dynamics is not whether or not the attractive forces are included in the simulations. What matters is whether or not interactions are included from all particles within the first coordination shell – the attractive forces can thus be ignored, but only at extremely high densities. The recognition of the importance of a local shell in condensed fluids goes back to van der Waals; our results confirm this idea and thereby the basic picture of the old hole and cell theories for simple condensed fluids.
liquid. Berthier and Tarjus concluded that, while the attractive forces have little effect on the liquid’s structure, they affect the dynamics in a “highly nontrivial and nonperturbative way.” This led Pedersen et al. to investigate whether it is possible to reproduce both statics and dynamics of the KABLJ liquid by purely repulsive potentials different from the WCA potentials.15 This is indeed possible using inverse power-law (IPL) potentials; even the thermodynamics is predicted better using IPL potentials than using the WCA approximation to the true Lennard-Jones (LJ) potentials.15 Altogether, this indicates that it is not the presence of attractive forces per se, which is responsible for getting the correct dynamics, leaving open the question why the WCA approximation fails so dramatically for the KABLJ liquid’s viscous dynamics. Very recently the problem was reconsidered by Berthier and Tarjus in a paper entitled “The role of attractive forces in viscous liquids.”16 From a careful numerical investigation of the standard LJ and the KABLJ liquids they conclude that in the viscous regime the WCA approximation gives results that are quantitatively and qualitatively different from the results for the true potentials, a finding “which appears to contradict the common view that the physics of dense liquids is dominated by the steep repulsive forces.” Moreover, they find that “a key aspect in explaining the differences in the dynamical behavior of the two models [WCA vs. true potential] is the truncation of the interaction potential beyond a cutoff.”

This last point, in conjunction with recent results of ours on the shifted-forces cutoff,17 inspired to the present investigation that systematically studies the effect of neglecting the forces beyond a shifted-forces cutoff. We conclude below that the crucial point is to include all interactions from the particles within the FCS; the effect of interactions beyond the FCS on the thermodynamics can be taken into account to a good approximation by first-order perturbation theory.

The radius of the FCS for a fluid of simple spherically symmetrical molecules can be defined either as the distance where the radial distribution function has its first minimum or, following van der Waals,2 as the distance at which the mean density within a sphere with this radius equals the overall particle density. For the LJ system these two distances are virtually identical (Fig. 1); for the KABLJ system there is a small, but insignificant difference.

A condensed fluid may be thought of as similar to a distorted crystal with holes. Along this line of thinking, the importance of the FCS in condensed fluids was acknowledged long ago by Frenkel,18 Eyring,19 and Lennard-Jones and Devonshire.20,21 Hole theories and lattice theories for liquids are discussed, e.g., in Refs. 22, 23. The findings reported below confirm van der Waals’s idea of the central role of the forces from particles within a local shell where the number density equals the overall density, as well as the basic ideas behind the hole and lattice theories for condensed fluids.

Section II presents our results for the standard Lennard-Jones system, Sec. III investigates the highly viscous KABLJ mixture. Section IV discusses the consequences of our findings for the general picture of simple liquids and for the role of perturbation theory for understanding the physics of simple liquids.

III. SIMULATIONS OF THE LENNARD-JONES FLUID WITH VARYING CUTOFFS

The basic idea behind the standard perturbation expansion for fluids is that the particle distribution is determined primarily by the repulsive part of the potential. In this generally accepted picture the effect of the longer-range attractive part of the potential can be calculated from the first (mean-field) contribution in the expansion.

For a given state point with density \( \rho \) and temperature \( T \) the validity of the mean-field approximation may be tested by determining structure and dynamics of the fluid when the spatial range of the interactions is varied in the simulations via the cutoff. We make the model as simple as possible. The basic pair potential is the LJ function,

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle u(\mathbf{r}) \rangle & = 4\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{r}{\sigma} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{r}{\sigma} \right)^{6} \right].
\end{align*}
\]

The range of interaction is limited by introducing a shifted-forces (SF) cutoff at a radius denoted by \( r_c \). A SF cutoff has the pair force go continuously to zero at \( r_c \), which is obtained by subtracting a constant term as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{\text{SF}}(r) & = \begin{cases} 
  f_{\text{LJ}}(r) - f_{\text{LJ}}(r_c) & \text{if } r < r_c \\
  0 & \text{if } r > r_c .
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

This corresponds to the following modification of the potential: \( u_{\text{SF}}(r) = u_{\text{LJ}}(r) - (r - r_c)u_{\text{LJ}}(r_c) \) for \( r < r_c \), \( u_{\text{SF}}(r) = 0 \) for \( r > r_c \). Reference 17 showed that a SF cutoff gives more accurate results than the traditionally used shifted-potential (SP) cutoff.24

Neglecting the forces beyond \( r_c \) leads to a difference in the thermodynamics, dynamics, and structure of the system. After introducing a cutoff the pair distribution function \( g(r) \) deviates from the true \( g_{\text{LJ}}(r) \). In the mean-field approximation, the energy and pressure include the effect of the neglected forces as a mean contribution, calculated from the particle distribution of the reference system (which ignores
the long-range forces). For the pressure, \( p(\rho, T) \), the mean-field approximation is

\[
p(\rho, T) = \rho T + \frac{2\pi}{3} \rho^2 \int_0^\infty g(r) f_{LJ}(r)r^3dr.
\]

Any difference between the mean-field approximation of, e.g., this pressure and the true value is caused by a different particle distribution originating from the exclusion of the forces beyond \( r_c \). By varying the cutoff we can thus test the validity of the mean-field approximation. The validity of the first-order perturbation expansion may be investigated by determining the difference between the (radial) distributions,

\[
\Delta g(r) = g(r) - g_{LJ}(r).
\]

The potential energy and the pressure deviate from the correct values (denoted by \( U_{LJ} \) and \( p_{LJ} \)) by the terms

\[
\frac{\Delta U(\rho, T)}{N} = 2\pi \rho \int_0^\infty \Delta g(r) u_{LJ}(r)r^2dr,
\]

\[
\Delta p(\rho, T) = \frac{2\pi}{3} \rho^2 \int_0^\infty \Delta g(r) f_{LJ}(r)r^3dr.
\]

In molecular dynamics these integrals are obtained directly as time and particle averages of the explicit interaction energies, \( u(r_{ij}) \), and forces, \( f(r_{ij}) \), between pairs of particles, \( i \) and \( j \). In standard perturbation theory to first order the Helmholtz free energy difference between the system with a cutoff and the true LJ system is given by

\[
\Delta F(\rho, T) = \Delta U(\rho, T).
\]

The perturbation expansion is asymptotically correct at high temperatures for systems with infinitely strong purely repulsive forces.\(^6\)

Five state points of the standard single-component LJ condensed fluid were investigated.\(^26\) The five state points are:

1. \( \rho = 0.85, T = 1.00 \) (liquid at medium temperature);
2. \( \rho = 0.85, T = 0.65 \) (liquid at low temperature and almost zero pressure);
3. \( \rho = 2.5, T = 100 \) (condensed fluid at very high density and temperature);
4. \( \rho = 0.60, T = 1.5 \) (low-density condensed fluid just above the critical temperature);
5. \( \rho = 1.05, T = 1.00 \) (fcc solid).

The fluid systems studied consisted of \( N = 2000 \) particles, the fcc solid system of \( N = 12^3 = 1728 \) particles (using periodic boundary conditions). For each value of the cutoff the systems were simulated for at least \( 16 \times 10^6 \) time steps (\( \approx 160 \) ns in argon units). Below we present data for the fluid state points (1)-(4), for which results from simulations using various values of the cutoff are compared to results for the true LJ system, which is here defined by the cutoff \( r_c = 4.5\sigma \) (these data are denoted by LJ). Results for the crystalline state point (5) confirm the general physical picture arrived at.

\section*{A. Thermodynamics and dynamics}

Figure 2(a) shows the change in percent of the potential energy as a function of the cutoff obtained by Eq. (5) at state points (1) (red) and (2) (green), Fig. 2(b) shows the change in pressure using Eq. (6) for the same simulations. Figure 3(a) shows the difference in self-diffusion constant \( \Delta D \) for the LJ fluid at state points (1) (red) and (2) (green), Fig. 3(b) shows the same for state points (1), (3), and (4). The arrows mark the locations of the first minimum of the radial distribution function, delimiting the FCS.

For both thermodynamics and dynamics we find the following: There is virtually no effect on structure and dynamics of ignoring the forces beyond the standard cutoff used in most LJ simulations, \( r_c = 2.5\sigma \). This is not surprising in view of the fact that the interactions are very small at such large distances. There is a small effect on the dynamics of neglecting the forces from distances \( 1.5\sigma < 2.5\sigma \). Interestingly, the accuracy of the simulations “recovers” and the simulations generally agree well with those of the true LJ system when \( r_c \approx 1.5\sigma \). This is where \( g_{LJ}(r) \) has its first
minimum (marked by arrows in the figures), delimiting the FCS. As forces are gradually removed for \( r_c < 1.5 \sigma \), however, both thermodynamics and dynamics begin to deviate significantly from those of the true LJ system. In particular, the self-diffusion constant increases significantly. Note that using the WCA cutoff \( r_c = 2^{1/6} \) (at the potential minimum), the energy obtained by the mean-field approximation deviates only a few percents from the correct value. This shows that even modifications of the potential that lead to only quite small changes in free energy (Eq. (7)) may significantly affect the dynamics.

To summarize the findings so far: (1) Simulations of the LJ liquid’s thermodynamics and dynamics are reliable when the cutoff is placed at \( g(r) \)'s first minimum; (2) the small energy changes – and thereby small free energy changes – induced by removing even a minor part of the attractive forces within the FCS lead to a significant increase of the self-diffusion constant. This suggests the following. For a condensed, uniform fluid the forces on a given particle from particles within its entire FCS play crucial roles for the dynamics, whereas the forces from particles outside the FCS have little influence and their contribution to the thermodynamics may be taken into account to a good accuracy via mean-field methods. There is a small effect on the thermodynamics and, in particular, on the self-diffusion constant by also including the forces just outside the FCS: by including the attractive forces from the interval \( 1.5 \sigma \leq r \leq 2.0 \sigma \) the energy decreases by 1%, whereas these attractive forces lower the self-diffusion constant by up to 4% for state point (2).

With the van der Waals picture and perturbation theory in mind the following question now arises: Is it important that the forces within the first coordination shell includes some attractions? The answer to this question is no. Recall that a purely repulsive inverse power-law system does exist that has almost the same radial distribution function as the LJ system.\(^{28,29}\) Since the potential of the mean force \( w(r) \) on a particle is given by the radial distribution function,\(^{30}\) \( w(r) = -k_B T \ln[g(r)] \), the mean force on a LJ particle from the particles in its FCS does not depend directly on the sign of these forces but merely on \( g(r) \).

In order to demonstrate that the dynamics of a LJ fluid is obtained correctly even when only purely repulsive parts of the LJ potential lie within the FCS, we simulated the LJ system at such a high density that the entire FCS is within the “WCA range” delimiting the range of the repulsive forces \( r < 2^{1/6} \sigma \); \( \rho = 2.5 \), state point (2). Even at this state point a cutoff at \( g_{13}(r) \)'s first minimum \( (r_c = 1.09 \sigma) \) gives good results for self diffusion (Fig. 3(b)). This shows that inquiring into the respective roles of repulsive versus attractive forces is less central than focusing on the role of the FCS for simple condensed liquids’ thermodynamics and dynamics – the main conclusion of the present paper. Figure 3(b) shows the relative deviation of the self-diffusion constant \( D \) for state points (1) and (3), demonstrating that this behavior is independent of whether forces are attractive or repulsive. Included in this figure is also the relative change in self-diffusion constant for a LJ fluid at state point (4) (blue). This low-density state point does not really have a well-established coordination shell, and the diffusion does not show the same strong dependence of the forces within the first shell of nearest neighbour; nevertheless, this state point shows the same trend as the other state points.

### B. Structure

Figure 4(a) shows \( g_{13}(r) \) at the high-density, high-temperature state point (3) (black) along with the corresponding distribution at state point (1) (red). The \( g_{13}(r) \) of the high-density state point (3) is almost a simple scaling of \( g_{13}(r) \) at state point (1) to a shorter distance,\(^{29}\) with maximum shifted from \( r \approx 2^{1/6} \sigma \) at state point (1) to \( r \approx 0.75 \sigma \) at state point (3). As mentioned already, the first minimum in \( g_{13}(r) \) at state point (1) is shifted from \( r \approx 1.5 \sigma \) to a value at state point (3) of \( r \approx 1.09 \sigma \), i.e., to a distance where all the particles within the FCS interact with the central particles by purely repulsive forces. Shown with solid points are values of \( g(r) \) for the...
Section II showed that what matters for simulating with high accuracy the condensed LJ liquid’s thermodynamics, dynamics, and structure, is that all interactions from particles within the FCS are included in the dynamics — independent of whether or not there are any attractive forces coming from particles within the FCS. We moreover showed that accurate results are obtained by placing the cutoff right at $g(r)$’s first minimum, which provides a convenient and obvious definition of the border of the FCS. The 2009 paper by Berthier and Tarjus, 13 which reopened the discussion of the role of the attractive forces in simulations, focused on the highly viscous KABLJ liquid. 14 For this system the WCA cutoff leads to a dynamics that is up to two orders of magnitude too fast. Thus the highly viscous KABLJ system is particularly sensitive, and it is therefore important to test whether the dominance of the forces coming from particles within the FCS identified in the LJ simulations applies also for the KABLJ liquid.

The KABLJ liquid is a mixture of 80% large (A) and 20% small (B) LJ particles ($\sigma_{BB} = 0.88\sigma_{AA}$) with a very strong AB attraction. 14 The A particles dominate the overall dynamics, and the (few and small) B particles are to a large extent slaves of the structure set by the A particles. For this reason, when we refer below to the FCS of the KABLJ system, it means always the first coordination shell of the A particles delimited by the first minimum of $g_{AA}(r)$. Likewise, cutoffs of all interactions are given in units referring to $\sigma_{AA}$. 31

The mean-square displacement of a particle in a viscous fluid separates into two regimes: the short-time ballistic regime in which the particle vibrates within its FCS, and the long-time diffusive regime that reflects the occasional escape from the shell. The importance of the range of the forces for viscous fluids is investigated below by simulating the KABLJ liquid.
system of \( N = 1000 \) particles at \( \rho \sigma^{3}_{AA} = 1.2 \) and \( T = 0.45 \). In order to determine the time correlations in this highly viscous fluid state accurately the simulations were very long, typically \( 160 \times 10^6 \) time steps.

The mean-square displacements (msd) for different cutoffs are shown as functions of time in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), which gives msds of the large (A) and the small (B) particles, respectively. Both figures show the same qualitative behavior. The displacements change drastically and systematically by increasing the cutoff from \( r_c = 1.12 \sigma_{\alpha,\beta} \) to \( r_c = 1.5 \sigma_{AA} \), but for \( r_c \geq 1.5 \sigma_{AA} \) there are no visible differences, here both A and B particles are trapped for a long time, which is independent of the long-range attraction.

The A particle self-intermediate scattering function at \( q \sigma^{3}_{AA} = 7.25 \),

\[
F_s(q, t) = \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{N} e^{i q \cdot (r_j(t) - r_j(0))} \right),
\]

is traditionally used for probing the dynamics of the KABLJ viscous liquid. This function is shown in Fig. 6(a) for various cutoffs. The conclusion is the same as for the mean-square displacements; forces from particles beyond \( r \approx 1.5 \sigma_{AA} \) play little role for \( F_s(q, t) \). The two green curves in Fig. 6(a) are for a WCA cutoff \( (r_c = 2^{1/6} \sigma_{\alpha,\beta}) \). The green line is for \( T = 0.45 \) and the green dashes (almost indistinguishable from the black curve) is for \( T = 0.3125 \); light blue: \( r_c = 1.2 \sigma_{AA} \); blue: \( r_c = 1.3 \sigma_{AA} \); magenta: \( r_c = 1.4 \sigma_{AA} \); red: \( r_c = 1.5 \sigma_{AA} \); yellow: \( r_c = 2.0 \sigma_{AA} \); dark blue: \( r_c = 2.5 \sigma_{AA} \) (the differences for \( r_c \geq 1.5 \sigma_{AA} \) are within the uncertainties).

(b) The relaxation time \( \tau \) of the KABLJ fluid at \( T = 0.45 \). With read line and error bars are shown \( \tau \) for the A particles obtained from Eq. (9). The self-diffusion constants \( D_{AA}^{1/1} \) (green line) and \( D_{BB}^{1/1} \) (blue line) are scaled to agree with \( \tau \) for \( r_c = 4.5 \sigma_{AA} \).
The self-diffusion via the slopes \( r_c \) of the radial distribution functions, \( g(r) \). Results are shown in Fig. 6(b) along with those obtained from the KABLJ system at \( T = 0.45 \). The dynamics of the KABLJ-WCA system at \( T = 0.45 \) is indistinguishable from that of the KABLJ system at \( T = 0.45 \), which shows that the two systems agree and show the same dependence on \( r_c \). These findings for the dynamics of the highly viscous KABLJ fluid confirm that it is the forces from distances below \( 1.5\sigma_{AA} \) that give the correct high viscosity and long relaxation time of the viscous state.

Inspection of the radial distribution functions reveals that the FCS, which determines the dynamics, is the one established by the A particles. Figure 7(a) shows the three radial distribution functions for the KABLJ system. The threshold value \( r_c \approx 1.5\sigma_{AA} \) for the KABLJ fluid is almost the first minimum of the radial distribution function \( g_{AA}(r) \) of the A particles, which appears at \( r_c = 1.425\sigma_{AA} \). Note that this is at a distance quite different from the first minima of the two other radial distribution functions; in fact the B particles do not create a FCS of their own.

In a recent paper Rehwald, Rubner, and Heuer demonstrated that KABLJ systems of less than one hundred particles have the correct (bulk) thermodynamics and diffusivity. Tripathy and Schweizer further very recently showed that in the activated barrier hopping theory based on naive mode-coupling theory and a nonlinear Langevin equation, the short-range interactions determine the single-particle dynamics and the physical nature of the transiently arrested state (in fact, even for nonspherical particle fluids). The present finding that it is enough to know the interactions within the FCS fits nicely into the results of these two recent works.

We end this section by briefly digressing to discuss in more detail the roles of the A and B particles. That it is the FCS around the A particles, which determines the properties of the KABLJ fluid, can be deduced from the dependence of the radial distribution function of the B particles. All three radial distribution functions depend on \( r_c \), but whereas \( g_{AA}(r) \) and \( g_{AB}(r) \) vary only little with \( r_c \) for \( r_c > 1.5\sigma_{AA} \) and in a way similar to that of the simple LJ fluid --- the distribution of the B particles is sensitive to \( r_c \) for values larger than \( 1.5\sigma_{AA} \). This may seem to contradict the above conclusion, but in fact, as we shall now argue, it merely demonstrates that the A particle FCS determines the properties of the KABLJ fluid. The sensitivity of \( g_{BB}(r) \) to the truncation of forces beyond \( r_c = 1.5\sigma_{AA} \) is clear from Fig. 7. Only when \( r_c \geq 2\sigma_{AA} \) is the correct radial distribution function obtained. Nevertheless, the msd of the B particles (Fig. 6(b)) and the corresponding relaxation time (blue line in Fig. 6(b)) agree well with the KABLJ msd and \( \tau \) already for \( r_c = 1.5\sigma_{AA} \). Thus the attractive forces between pairs of B particles at distances in the interval \( 1.5\sigma_{AA} \leq r \leq 2.0\sigma_{AA} \) changes the distribution \( g_{BB}(r) \), but have no influence on the dynamics. A plausible explanation of this apparent contradiction is that a pair of B particles can be bound to the same A particle within this particle’s FCS and rearrange between different local minima within this FCS due to the small values \( \sigma_{AA} = 0.8\sigma_{AA} \) and \( \sigma_{BB} = 0.88\sigma_{AA} \). The local minima depend on the attraction between B particles within the A particles’ FCS. Their maximum distance must be \( \approx \sigma_{AB} + \sigma_{AA} = 1.8\sigma_{AA} \). Nevertheless, Figs. 5(b) and 6(b) show that this sensitivity to the attraction between pairs of B particles within the FCS of the A particle has no consequences for their dynamics.

Relaxation times were calculated from \( F_s(7.25, t) \) via

\[
\tau = \int_0^\infty \frac{t F_s(7.25, t)dt}{\int_0^\infty F_s(7.25, t)dt}.
\]

Results are shown in Fig. 6(b) along with those obtained from the self-diffusion via the slopes \( 6\langle D \rangle \) of the mean-square displacements using \( \tau(D) \propto \langle D^2 / D \rangle \), where the relaxation times \( \tau(D)_{AA} \) and \( \tau(D)_{BB} \) were scaled to agree for \( r_c = 4.5\sigma_{AA} \). Within the statistical uncertainties the three relaxation times agree and show the same dependence on \( r_c \). These findings for the dynamics of the highly viscous KABLJ fluid confirm that it is the forces from distances below \( 1.5\sigma_{AA} \) that give the correct high viscosity and long relaxation time of the viscous state.

[Ref. 31]
IV. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that structure and dynamics of LJ systems in the dense fluid phase are well reproduced by introducing a shifted-forces cutoff at the first minimum of the radial distribution function (a distance that approximately equals the radius of the sphere for which the density is equal to the overall density in the uniform fluid). This means that for LJ liquids – and presumably also for other simple liquids – including all interactions from the particles within the FCS is all that matters for getting the correct physics. The forces from particles beyond the FCS only change the structure marginally, and their contribution to the thermodynamics can therefore be taken into account with high accuracy by simple mean-field corrections.

It is important to emphasize that this paper focused on the uniform condensed phase, far from the gas phase and the critical point. The dominant role of the forces from particles within the FCS can only be expected to apply for the condensed phase, where a coordination shell is well established. When the density is decreased, one enters a region of phase space of more gas-like behavior; here the cluster picture of the virial expansion gradually becomes more relevant and useful. For the simple LJ liquid our simulations suggest that at typical temperatures this transition takes place when \( \rho \sigma^3 \approx 0.6 \) (compare Fig. 3(b)).

With the demonstration of the importance of the FCS for simple condensed fluids one may ask to which extent this property applies also for complex molecular liquids such as water? Some indication that this may be the case is given in Ref. 36, where the free energy of a (TIP3P) water molecule is partitioned into chemical associations with proximal inner-shell water molecules and classical electrostatic/dispersion interactions with the remaining outer-shell water molecules. The calculated free energy is in excellent agreement with the free energy per particle of (TIP3P) water, but it should be noted that of course not all interactions beyond the FCS are ignored in this approach.

The traditional discussion of the roles of attractive versus repulsive forces, which was recently reinvigorated by Berthier and Tarjus, does not ask the right question. According to the presently proposed “FCS focus” the reason that the traditional separation into repulsive and attractive interactions fails (severely for the viscous dynamics, but actually also for the standard LJ liquid) is not \( \textit{per se} \) that attractions are ignored. Rather, the dynamics and (first-order) perturbation expansion fail because at ordinary densities ignoring the attractions fails to take into account all interactions from particles within the FCS – at extremely high densities the WCA approximation works well because here it does take into account the forces from all particles within the FCS.

More generally, the physical basis for the traditional perturbation theory is called into question by our findings. The idea behind perturbation theory is that repulsive and attractive forces give rise to separate, clearly identifiable contributions to the equation of state and to the free energy. Perturbation theory for the free energy is based on the physical picture that, roughly speaking, the repulsive forces decrease the entropy whereas the attractive forces decrease the energy. This picture captures the general qualitative behavior of many condensed fluids. We find, however, that in order to obtain an accurate particle distribution all forces from particles within the FCS must be included, independent of whether these are repulsive or attractive. As a consequence, we suggest that perturbation theory will be much more useful if the reference state (as a minimum) takes into account all interactions from particles within the FCS.

The mean-field term is the first term in the Zwanzig high-temperature expansion. This expansion is exact if there is a well-defined excluded volume in the high-temperature limit. This is, however, not the case. Our simulations at the high-temperature state point demonstrate that even in this case a necessary and sufficient condition for obtaining the correct dynamics and thermodynamics is to include all forces from the FCS – and only these. The size of the FCS is density dependent, and no well-defined “high-temperature limit” exists. The Zwanzig reference system is a hypothetical reference system like the ideal gas state. Such systems are very useful for obtaining a qualitative understanding of the liquid state, as well as quantitative information, as has been demonstrated in numerous cases. Understanding the limitations of reference systems is nevertheless important for obtaining a proper physical understanding of the physics of simple, condensed fluids.
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This is so despite the fact that a SF cutoff modifies the force at all distances whereas the standard SP cutoff has correct forces for \( r < r_c \). Presumably, SF works better than SP because the latter has a discontinuity in the force at \( r = r_c \), which implies that the slope of the radial distribution function \( g(r) \) must also have a discontinuity here (recall that the logarithm of the radial distribution function \( g(r) \) gives the mean-field force potential (Ref. 30)).

For MD details see S. Toxvaerd, Mol. Phys. 72, 159 (1991). The units of length, energy, and time are \( \sigma \), \( \epsilon \), and \( \sigma \sqrt{m/\epsilon} \), respectively.

The label LJ marks a system with \( r_c = 4.5\sigma \); the data for \( u \) and \( p \) are without the mean-field corrections for the integrations from \( r_c = 4.5 \) to \( \infty \).

\( r_c \) is defined as the distance at which the potential energy is equal to the zero of the gradient of the interatomic potential. The cutoff at the minima of each potential is \( r_c = 1.12\sigma_{\alpha,\beta} \). The other cutoffs are for \( r_c \) in units of \( \sigma_{\alpha,\beta} \).


